



Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan

Vol. 4 – No. 1, 2026, Hal. 297–315

ISSN: 2527-5151 (print)

<https://jurnal.umsrappang.ac.id/jtp/index>



Media and Rural Community Development in Post-Independence India: From Development Communication to Digital Participation

Prof. P. Natarajan

Assistant Professor, Department of Visual Communication SRM Arts and Science College,
Chennai, India.

Email: natarajanviscom@srmasc.ac.in

Dr. Sheik Mohamed S.H

Assistant Professor, Department of Visual Communication S.A. College of Arts and
Science, Chennai, India.

Email: sheikmohamedsh@sacas.a.c.in

Prof. S. Makesh

Assistant Professor, Department of Visual Communication, SRM Institute of Science and
Technology (S&H), Ramapuram, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Email : makeshs@srmist.edu.in

Abstract

Media has played a significant role in rural community development in India since independence in 1947. In the early post-independence period, communication was primarily used to disseminate information related to agriculture, education, healthcare, family welfare and government development programmes. Radio and television subsequently became important instruments of development communication, while print media and traditional folk communication contributed to local awareness and community engagement. Over time, the communication environment gradually shifted from predominantly top-down information dissemination towards participatory and community-oriented communication.

This article reviews the evolution of media and rural community development in post-independence India, with particular attention to community radio, citizen journalism, mobile communication, information and communication technologies, social media and digital platforms. The review highlights how rural communities have increasingly moved from being passive media audiences to becoming participants and content producers. Digital technologies have expanded opportunities for access to agricultural information, education, government services, markets and wider social networks. At the same time, the digital divide continues to affect meaningful participation because of differences in connectivity, affordability, education, digital literacy, gender and socioeconomic conditions.

The article argues that the developmental contribution of media depends not merely on technological access but also on relevance, credibility, cultural sensitivity, community participation and institutional responsiveness. The study concludes that an inclusive rural communication ecosystem should integrate traditional communication practices, mass media, community media and digital technologies to strengthen local knowledge, participation and community development.

Key Words: *Rural Development; Community Development; Development Communication; Rural Media; Community Radio; Participatory Communication; Digital Media; Digital Inclusion; Citizen Journalism; India*

1. Introduction

India's independence in 1947 marked the beginning of a new phase of nation-building and planned development. Rural development received considerable attention because a large proportion of the population lived in villages and depended on agriculture and allied activities. Poverty, illiteracy, inadequate healthcare, unemployment, limited infrastructure and low agricultural productivity were among the major challenges confronting rural communities.

The development process therefore required more than economic investment and physical infrastructure. It also required mechanisms through which knowledge, information and development-oriented messages could reach geographically dispersed populations. Communication consequently became an important component of India's development planning.

The relationship between communication and development was particularly significant during the early decades after independence. Government institutions used mass media to communicate agricultural information, health messages, educational content, family welfare information and details concerning development programmes. Radio emerged as an important medium because of its wide geographical reach and capacity to communicate in regional languages. Television subsequently expanded the visual dimensions of development communication.

The history of rural communication in India, however, is not simply a history of technological development. It is also a history of changing ideas about the relationship between institutions and communities. Early development communication frequently followed a predominantly top-down model in which experts, government agencies and media institutions communicated information to rural audiences. Later approaches increasingly recognised the importance of dialogue, participation, local knowledge and community ownership.

The emergence of community radio, citizen journalism, mobile phones and social media has further transformed this relationship. Rural people increasingly have opportunities not only to consume information but also to produce, distribute and discuss it.

This transformation is particularly important in the context of contemporary rural India. Digital platforms can connect communities with markets, educational resources, government services, agricultural information and wider social networks. Yet access to technology remains uneven, and the digital divide continues to affect marginalised communities.

The present article therefore reviews the evolution of media and community development in rural India from the post-independence period to the contemporary digital environment. It considers the changing functions of media, the movement towards participatory communication and the challenges associated with digital inclusion.

2. Rural Development and the Communication Context after Independence

The Community Development Programme launched in 1952 was one of the significant early initiatives in India's rural development history. It sought to address several dimensions of rural life, including agriculture, education, health, sanitation, infrastructure and community organisation.

The programme reflected the idea that rural development required the involvement of communities rather than merely the provision of government services. Development workers needed to communicate with villagers, explain programmes and encourage participation.

Communication consequently operated at multiple levels. Government officials communicated development policies, extension workers interacted directly with farmers, and mass media disseminated information to larger populations.

The early development communication environment can therefore be understood as a combination of:

- Interpersonal communication + Extension communication + Mass communication + Community interaction

This communication structure provided the foundation for later development-oriented media practices.

However, the effectiveness of development communication depended on more than the availability of messages. The relevance of information, language, cultural context, credibility and the willingness of communities to participate were equally important.

3. Radio as an Instrument of Rural Development

Radio occupied a particularly important position in India's rural communication system during the post-independence period.

Unlike print media, radio did not require high levels of literacy. It could reach dispersed populations and communicate information in local and regional languages. These characteristics made it useful for agricultural and social development communication.

Agricultural broadcasting addressed subjects such as:

- improved cultivation practices;
- crop management;
- irrigation;
- weather;
- pest control;
- agricultural technologies;
- animal husbandry; and
- rural markets.

Radio also carried programmes relating to health, sanitation, education, family welfare and social development.

The significance of agricultural broadcasting increased during periods of agricultural modernisation. Information about improved seeds, fertilisers, irrigation and scientific cultivation could be communicated to farmers through broadcast programmes.

From the perspective of development communication theory, radio represented the potential of mass media to accelerate the diffusion of information and innovations. However, its communication structure remained predominantly one-way. The audience generally received messages without directly participating in their production. This limitation would become increasingly important as participatory approaches to communication developed.

4. Television and the Visual Dimension of Development Communication

Television expanded the capacity of development communication by combining sound and visual information.

Agricultural practices, health procedures, educational activities and social messages could be demonstrated rather than merely described. Television therefore created new possibilities for instructional communication.

The Satellite Instructional Television Experiment (SITE), 1975–76, represented a major milestone in India's communication history. The experiment explored the use of satellite technology for delivering educational and development-oriented programmes to rural communities.

SITE demonstrated that communication technology could be employed to overcome geographical barriers and reach rural populations at scale. Television subsequently became an important medium for development-related programming. Agricultural programmes, educational broadcasts, health campaigns and social awareness messages became part of the broader television environment.

Nevertheless, television continued to be characterised largely by centralised production. Rural communities were predominantly audiences rather than active producers of media content. The distinction between communication for communities and communication by communities therefore remained significant.

5. Print Media and Rural Journalism

Print media has also contributed to rural community development.

Regional-language newspapers expanded the circulation of information beyond major urban centres. Local journalism provided greater attention to rural issues and enabled geographically specific concerns to enter broader public discussion.

Rural journalism has addressed subjects such as:

- agricultural challenges;
- farmers' concerns;
- rural infrastructure;
- education;
- healthcare;

- water and sanitation;
- employment;
- local governance;
- environmental problems; and
- social inequality.

Local journalism can perform an important accountability function by bringing community-level problems to the attention of administrators and wider audiences.

However, rural communities have historically experienced limited representation in mainstream media. Issues affecting remote communities may receive less sustained coverage than events in major urban centres. The emergence of citizen journalism and mobile-based reporting has partly challenged this pattern by enabling individuals and communities to produce and circulate local information.

6. Folk Media and Indigenous Communication

The development of mass media did not eliminate traditional communication practices in rural India.

Folk communication has long formed an important part of community life. Forms such as folk songs, storytelling, puppetry, street theatre, Villupattu and Therukoothu have been used to communicate cultural, religious, social and educational messages.

Folk media has particular significance for development communication because it operates within familiar cultural frameworks. A message concerning sanitation, health, education or environmental protection can be incorporated into a performance using local language, humour, music and cultural references. This approach can make development messages more understandable and memorable. Folk media also has a participatory dimension. Performances frequently occur in community spaces and allow direct interaction between performers and audiences.

Therefore, traditional media should not be viewed simply as an older form of communication that has been replaced by technology. It can complement contemporary communication systems, particularly where cultural relevance and interpersonal interaction are important

7. Development Communication and the Changing Role of Media

The concept of development communication evolved considerably during the second half of the twentieth century.

Early approaches often emphasised the transmission of information from institutions and experts to communities. This approach was consistent with the idea that development involved transferring knowledge, technologies and practices to populations considered to be in need of modernisation.

Later scholarship questioned the limitations of this model.

Development increasingly came to be understood as a process involving:

- participation;
- dialogue;
- empowerment;
- local knowledge;
- cultural identity;
- social inclusion; and
- communication rights.

This shift transformed the perceived role of media.

Instead of asking only:

- "How can media deliver development messages?"

the communication field increasingly asked:

- "How can communities use media to express their own development needs?"

This represents an important conceptual transformation.

8. Community Media and Participatory Communication

Community media emerged as an important alternative to both state and commercial media.

The central principle of community media is that communication should reflect the needs and interests of specific communities and provide opportunities for local participation.

Community media can perform several functions:

- local information dissemination;
- cultural preservation;
- public discussion;
- education;
- community mobilisation;
- local news production;
- social awareness; and
- representation of marginalised voices.

In India, community radio became particularly important in this context.

Research has examined the potential of community radio to provide marginalised groups with opportunities for expression and participation. Nirmala (2015), for example, examined community radio's potential contribution to women's empowerment.

Other research has examined participatory programming addressing rural women's information needs, including health, sanitation and government programmes.

Community radio therefore represents a significant shift from conventional broadcasting towards community-oriented communication.

9. Community Radio: Opportunities and Limitations

Community radio can create a communication environment in which local residents participate in programme development and content production.

This can strengthen:

- local voice;
- community identity;
- access to relevant information;
- public discussion;
- local knowledge;
- social awareness; and
- interaction with institutions.

However, participation should not be assumed merely because a broadcasting station serves a local geographical area.

Research by Backhaus (2020) highlights tensions between participatory ideals and institutional development discourses in Indian community radio.

The degree of participation can depend upon who controls programming, who produces content, whose knowledge is represented and how audiences influence editorial decisions.

Therefore:

Local media does not automatically mean participatory media.

Genuine participation requires mechanisms through which community members can influence the communication process.

10. Information and Communication Technologies in Rural Development

The arrival of information and communication technologies created another major transformation. Computers, telecentres, internet connectivity and mobile communication expanded the range of information available to rural populations.

Rao (2008) examined the role of telecentres in Indian rural communities and highlighted their potential as mechanisms for improving access to information and supporting social development.

ICTs have been used in relation to:

- agriculture;
- education;
- government services;
- healthcare;
- employment;
- financial services;
- weather information;

- markets; and
- social networking.

The significance of ICTs lies partly in their ability to connect local communities with external knowledge networks.

A farmer, for example, may obtain information not only from a local extension worker but also through a mobile application, online video, social media group or digital marketplace. This has created a more complex rural communication environment.

11. Mobile Phones and Agricultural Communication

The widespread availability of mobile phones has changed rural communication considerably.

Mobile communication offers several advantages:

- portability;
- immediate communication;
- relatively low cost;
- local-language messaging;
- multimedia communication; and
- access to online services.

Agricultural advisory services have increasingly used mobile technologies to reach farmers.

Research examining mobile-based agricultural advisory services in India indicates that such systems can facilitate the rapid dissemination of information. However, adoption and use may differ according to farmers' socioeconomic characteristics, education, gender and access to technology. This demonstrates that technological availability is only one component of communication access.

The development process therefore requires attention to:

Access + affordability + digital literacy + relevance + trust

12. Citizen Journalism and Rural Communities

Digital technologies have also enabled rural citizens to participate in news production.

Citizen journalism provides opportunities for individuals without formal media employment to report local events, problems and experiences. One important Indian example is CGNet Swara, a voice-based citizen journalism platform associated with rural and tribal communities in central India.

Chadha and Steiner (2015) examined CGNet Swara and its relationship with citizen journalism and mainstream media. Their research highlighted the potential of the platform to provide rural communities with opportunities to communicate local concerns.

The importance of citizen journalism lies in its ability to change the traditional relationship between media and audience.

The rural citizen can potentially move through several roles:

- Audience → Participant → Reporter → Content Producer → Community Communicator

This transformation is particularly important for communities that receive limited representation through conventional media.

13. Digital Media and Agricultural Knowledge Sharing

Social media platforms have introduced new possibilities for knowledge exchange among farmers.

Farmers can communicate through WhatsApp groups, YouTube channels, Facebook communities and other digital platforms.

Digital communication enables:

- peer-to-peer learning;
- sharing of agricultural experiences;
- access to expert information;
- discussion of market conditions;
- sharing of weather information;
- agricultural demonstrations; and
- networking between producers and consumers.

However, digital platforms also create risks.

Information circulating through social media may not always be accurate or scientifically validated. Consequently, digital literacy and media literacy are increasingly important components of rural development.

The rural user needs not only access to information but also the ability to determine:

- Who produced the information?
- What evidence supports it?
- Is the source credible?
- Can the information be verified?

14. The Digital Divide in Rural India

The expansion of digital technologies has not eliminated communication inequalities.

The digital divide refers to differences in access to and effective use of digital technologies.

It can involve:

- internet connectivity;
- device ownership;
- affordability;

- education;
- digital skills;
- language;
- gender;
- income;
- geography; and
- social status.

Salemink, Strijker and Bosworth (2017), in a systematic literature review of rural digital development, demonstrated that digital inequality involves more than physical connectivity. Skills, socioeconomic characteristics and local conditions also influence adoption and use.

Research focused on India similarly identifies continuing rural-urban differences in digital technology adoption. Therefore, digital inclusion should be understood as a multidimensional process rather than simply a matter of providing internet access.

15. Gender and Rural Digital Participation

Gender is an important factor in rural digital inclusion.

Access to mobile phones, internet services and digital education may vary between men and women because of differences in income, education, device ownership and social circumstances.

Recent research examining internet use among women in India has identified significant rural-urban disparities and associations with household wealth, education and mobile-phone ownership. These findings indicate that digital development strategies should incorporate gender-sensitive approaches.

Merely expanding network infrastructure cannot ensure equal participation.

Rural women may require:

- affordable devices;
- digital literacy;
- access to training;
- locally relevant content;
- safe communication environments; and
- opportunities to use digital technologies for education and economic activities.

16. Media, Community Voice and Empowerment

The relationship between media and empowerment is complex.

Media can contribute to empowerment by enabling communities to:

- access information;
- communicate concerns;
- share experiences;
- participate in public discussions;

- connect with institutions;
- preserve cultural knowledge;
- organise community activities; and
- develop networks.

However, empowerment should not be equated simply with media access.

A community may have access to smartphones and social media but still lack the institutional power to influence decisions.

The developmental significance of communication therefore depends upon the relationship between information and action.

A useful conceptual relationship is:

- Information → Awareness → Participation → Collective Voice → Institutional Response → Social Development

If institutional response is absent, communication may generate awareness without producing meaningful change.

17. Media as a Bridge between Rural Communities and Institutions

Media can function as an intermediary between communities and development institutions.

The relationship can be represented as:

- Rural Community ↔ Media ↔ Institutions

Rural residents can communicate local problems through media. Media organisations can provide visibility to these issues. Government agencies and other institutions may then respond.

This function is particularly relevant to local journalism and citizen media.

For example, reporting about problems concerning roads, water, healthcare, education or public services can potentially increase public attention.

The effectiveness of this process depends on the responsiveness of institutions and the credibility of the media. Thus, rural media can contribute not only to information dissemination but also to public accountability and community representation.

18. The Transformation from Mass Media to Digital Media

The evolution of rural communication can be broadly divided into five stages.

Period	Dominant Communication Forms	Major Characteristics
Early post-independence	Radio, print, interpersonal communication	Information dissemination
1960s–1970s	Radio, television, agricultural broadcasting	Development-oriented communication
1980s–1990s	Television, newspapers, folk media	Awareness and social campaigns
2000s	ICTs, telecentres, mobile phones	Digital information access
2010s–2026	Smartphones, social media, citizen media	Participation and user-generated content

The transition can therefore be represented as:

- Broadcasting → Local Media → Community Media → ICTs → Participatory Digital Media

This transformation does not mean that older media have disappeared.

Instead, rural communities increasingly operate within a multi-media communication environment.

A farmer may simultaneously use television, radio, WhatsApp, YouTube, interpersonal communication and agricultural extension services.

19. A Rural Communication Ecosystem

The contemporary rural communication environment can be understood as an ecosystem rather than a single-medium system.

Traditional Layer

Folk songs, storytelling, local performances and interpersonal communication.

Mass Media Layer

Radio, television and newspapers.

Community Media Layer

Community radio and local journalism.

Digital Layer

Mobile phones, websites, social media and digital applications.

Institutional Layer

Government agencies, NGOs, educational institutions and agricultural extension services.

These layers interact rather than operate independently.

For example, a health message may begin as a government campaign, appear on television, be discussed through community radio, circulate through WhatsApp and subsequently be discussed through interpersonal networks. This interconnected environment makes rural communication increasingly complex

20. Theoretical Perspective

The historical transformation of rural media can be examined through several communication perspectives.

20.1 Diffusion of Innovations

Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations theory explains how innovations spread through social systems.

In rural development, media can facilitate awareness of innovations and contribute to knowledge formation.

However, adoption depends on social relationships, perceived usefulness, compatibility, resources and communication networks.

20.2 Participatory Communication

Participatory communication emphasises dialogue and community involvement.

From this perspective, rural people are not passive recipients of development information. They are participants whose knowledge and experiences contribute to the development process.

20.3 Development Communication

Development communication examines how communication can contribute to social change and development.

Its evolution demonstrates a movement from centralised information transmission towards dialogue, participation and empowerment.

20.4 Digital Inclusion

Digital inclusion extends the analysis by examining whether individuals and communities have meaningful opportunities to access and use digital technologies.

These perspectives together provide a useful framework for understanding the transformation of rural media in India.

21. Critical Issues in Contemporary Rural Media

Despite considerable progress, several challenges remain.

21.1 Digital Inequality

Differences in income, education, infrastructure and digital literacy affect access.

21.2 Misinformation

The rapid circulation of unverified information through digital platforms creates new communication risks.

21.3 Language

Much digital content is concentrated in dominant languages, potentially limiting accessibility for some rural communities.

21.4 Gender

Women may face unequal access to devices, connectivity and digital skills.

21.5 Sustainability of Community Media

Community media organisations may face financial, technological and human-resource constraints.

21.6 Representation

Rural communities continue to seek greater representation in mainstream media.

21.7 Institutional Responsiveness

Communication can identify community problems, but development outcomes depend partly on whether institutions respond

22. Integrating Traditional and Digital Communication

An important direction for rural communication is not the replacement of traditional media by digital technologies but their integration.

Traditional communication provides:

- cultural familiarity;
- local language;
- interpersonal interaction;
- community identity.

Digital media provides:

- speed;
- geographical reach;

- multimedia capabilities;
- networking;
- user-generated content.

Combining these strengths can produce more context-sensitive communication.

For example, a rural environmental campaign could combine:

- Folk performance + Community radio + Local newspaper + WhatsApp + YouTube + Community meeting

Such an integrated model may be more effective than depending on a single communication channel.

23. Towards Inclusive Participatory Rural Communication

The future of rural communication requires a shift from technology-centred thinking towards people-centred communication.

A genuinely inclusive communication system should provide:

- Accessibility
- Affordable and reliable access to communication technologies.
- Relevance
- Information should address local needs.
- Participation
- Communities should participate in content production and decision-making.
- Digital Literacy
- Users should have the ability to access, evaluate and produce digital information.
- Cultural Sensitivity
- Communication should respect local languages, knowledge and cultural practices.
- Credibility
- Development information should be reliable and verifiable.
- Institutional Responsiveness
- Government and development institutions should respond to legitimate community concerns.

These components can be conceptualised as:

- Access + Relevance + Participation + Literacy + Credibility + Responsiveness = Inclusive Rural Communication.

24. Discussion

The historical trajectory of media in rural India demonstrates that technological change and communication change are closely related but not identical.

Radio expanded the geographical reach of development communication. Television introduced visual communication and large-scale instructional broadcasting. Print media strengthened

local reporting and public discussion. Folk media maintained cultural and interpersonal forms of communication. Community radio introduced stronger possibilities for local participation. ICTs and mobile technologies subsequently transformed access to information.

The most significant contemporary transformation is the increasing capacity of rural citizens to become content producers.

In the traditional mass-media model, communication largely followed:

- Institution → Media → Audience
- In participatory digital communication, the model can become:
- Community ↔ Media ↔ Institution ↔ Community

This is a more dynamic communication structure.

However, digital technologies do not automatically produce democratic or developmental outcomes. Inequalities in access, skills, gender and socioeconomic resources can influence who participates and whose voice becomes visible.

Therefore, the future of rural communication should be evaluated not simply through the number of connected villages or mobile users but through the quality and inclusiveness of participation.

25. Emerging Role of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence is likely to introduce another stage in rural communication.

AI-enabled systems may support:

- translation into local languages;
- agricultural advisory services;
- speech-based information systems;
- automated educational content;
- local-language chatbots;
- weather and crop information;
- accessibility services; and
- information classification.

However, AI also raises questions concerning misinformation, algorithmic bias, data privacy, digital literacy and unequal access.

The introduction of AI into rural communication should therefore be guided by principles of accuracy, transparency, accessibility, local-language support and human oversight.

AI should complement rather than replace community knowledge and human communication.

26. Future Research Directions

Future research can examine specific dimensions of rural communication through empirical studies.

Potential areas include:

- community radio and rural women's participation;
- mobile phones and agricultural decision-making;
- WhatsApp-based rural communication;
- YouTube and agricultural knowledge;
- digital literacy among rural youth;
- social media and rural entrepreneurship;
- rural citizen journalism;
- digital misinformation;
- community media and environmental awareness;
- AI-enabled rural communication;
- indigenous knowledge and digital preservation; and
- digital media and rural governance.

Comparative research between different states, languages and socioeconomic groups would provide a deeper understanding of India's diverse rural communication environment.

27. Conclusion

The evolution of media and rural community development in post-independence India demonstrates a significant transformation in the role of communication. In the early decades, radio, television and print media primarily functioned as channels for disseminating information related to agriculture, education, healthcare, social welfare and government development programmes. Traditional folk media also continued to support communication through local languages, cultural practices and community interaction.

With the emergence of community radio, ICTs, mobile communication, citizen journalism and social media, rural communication has gradually shifted from a predominantly one-way model towards participatory communication. Rural communities are increasingly able not only to receive information but also to produce, share and discuss content concerning their local needs and experiences. This transformation has expanded opportunities for community voice, knowledge sharing and interaction with development institutions.

However, technological expansion alone cannot ensure inclusive rural development. Digital inequality related to connectivity, affordability, education, gender, digital literacy and socioeconomic conditions continues to influence meaningful participation. Similarly, misinformation, language barriers, limited representation and institutional responsiveness remain important challenges.

The future of rural communication therefore requires a people-centred approach that integrates traditional communication, mass media, community media and digital technologies. Accessibility, relevance, participation, digital literacy, cultural sensitivity, credibility and institutional responsiveness are essential components of an inclusive communication environment.

Overall, the development of rural media in India can be understood as a transition from **development broadcasting to community media, participatory communication and digital participation**. The continued integration of technology with local knowledge and

community participation can strengthen the role of media in supporting sustainable and inclusive rural community development.

References

1. Balasubramanian, K., & Ravindran, D. (2015). ICTs and rural development: Opportunities and challenges in India. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 42(6), 543–557.
2. Belawati, T., & Baggaley, J. (2014). *Policy and practice in open and distance learning*. Routledge.
3. Castells, M. (2010). *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
4. Chapman, R., Slaymaker, T., & Young, J. (2003). Livelihoods approaches to information and communication in support of rural poverty elimination and food security. *ODI Working Paper 192*. Overseas Development Institute.
5. Dutta, M. J. (2011). *Communicating health: A culture-centered approach*. Polity Press.
6. Fuchs, C. (2017). *Social media: A critical introduction* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
7. Heeks, R. (2002). i-Development not e-Development: Special issue on ICTs and development. *Journal of International Development*, 14(1), 1–12.
8. Heeks, R. (2017). *Information and communication technology for development*. Routledge.
9. Horst, H. A., & Miller, D. (Eds.). (2012). *Digital anthropology*. Berg.
10. International Telecommunication Union. (2023). *Measuring digital development: Facts and figures 2023*. International Telecommunication Union.
11. Kiran, G., & Reddy, P. (2019). Digital media and rural development in India: Emerging opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Rural and Community Development*, 14(2), 45–61.
12. Kumar, R. (2023). Farmers' use of the mobile phone for accessing agricultural information in Haryana: An analytical study. *Open Information Science*, 7(1), Article 20220145. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opis-2022-0145> (DOI)
13. Laskar, M. H. (2023). Examining the emergence of digital society and the digital divide in India: A comparative evaluation between urban and rural areas. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 8, Article 1145221. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2023.1145221> (Frontiers)
14. Mefalopulos, P. (2008). *Development communication sourcebook: Broadening the boundaries of communication*. World Bank.
15. Mitra, A., & Das, S. (2023). Empowering voices: The role of community media in strengthening democracy and fostering inclusive development in India. *Journal of Communication and Management*, 2(3), 15–20. <https://doi.org/10.58966/JCM2023233> (JCoMA)
16. Mohanty, B. (2013). Community radio for development: A case study of Radio Namaskar in Odisha. *Media Watch*, 4(1), 61–71.
17. Mukerji, M. (2010). Access, use and impact of rural telecentres: Findings from a village-level exploration. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development*. Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2369220.2369244> (DOI)
18. Pant, M. (2016). Community radio and sustainable development in India: A study of three community radio stations. *South Asia Research*, 36(2), 201–220.

19. Pretty, J. N. (1995). *Participatory learning and action: A trainer's guide*. International Institute for Environment and Development.
20. Rao, S. S. (2007). Potential of ICTs in developing countries: A critical perspective. *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 29(1), 1–12.
21. Richardson, D. (2006). How can agricultural extension best harness ICTs to improve rural livelihoods in developing countries? *ICT in Agriculture Sourcebook*. World Bank.
22. Rodríguez, C. (2001). *Fissures in the mediascape: An international study of citizens' media*. Hampton Press.
23. Servaes, J., & Malikhao, P. (2005). Participatory communication: The new paradigm? In O. Hemer & T. Tufte (Eds.), *Media and glocal change: Rethinking communication for development* (pp. 91–103). Nordicom.
24. Singh, J. P., & Devi, S. (2013). Community radio for development in India. *Media Asia*, 40(3–4), 179–193.
25. Sinha, A. (2014). Community radio for development: A study of Radio Mewat. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 4(6), 477–481.
26. Tacchi, J. (2009). Finding a voice: Digital storytelling as participatory development. In J. Hartley & K. McWilliam (Eds.), *Story circle: Digital storytelling around the world* (pp. 167–175). Wiley-Blackwell.
27. Venkatesh, V., & Sykes, T. A. (2013). Digital divide initiative success in developing countries: A longitudinal field study in a village in India. *Information Systems Research*, 24(2), 239–260. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1110.0409> (PubsOnline)
28. White, S. A. (1994). The concept of participation: Transforming rhetoric to reality. In S. A. White, K. S. Nair, & J. Ascroft (Eds.), *Participatory communication: Working for change and development* (pp. 15–32). SAGE Publications.
29. World Bank. (2021). *World development report 2021: Data for better lives*. World Bank.
30. World Bank. (2024). *Digital progress and trends report 2023*. World Bank.